

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 1st Quarter '00 versus 1st Quarter '01

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 64 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case - 1,171) and "total decrease" in ADP (2,023). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 1,171 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 2,023 ADP. Subtracting 1,171 from 2,023 produces the overall decrease of 852 between the first quarter of 2000 and the first quarter of 2001.
2. The Contra Costa ADP increase of 167 is 10.8% of the total increase of 1,171. Thirty jurisdictions had increases (down to Oakland Police Department) with three jurisdictions reporting no increase. When you get to Sierra Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 1,171).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Plumas Sheriff's Department to Alameda Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 2,023 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Contra Costa Sheriff's Department to Ventura Sheriff's Department) is 44.6%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 45% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (San Diego, San Francisco and Alameda Sheriff's Departments) account for about 58% of the decreases.